REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending in the present application. Claim 1 is herein amended. No new

matter has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Majima (WO

01/092417 as evidenced by U.S. 6,780,482, which is used as an equivalent English document).

Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that that the half value width of recrystallization peak

is not more that 0.22. Support for this amendment is in the specification at page 10, lines 12-17.

Applicants respectfully submit that Majima does not disclose, either expressly or

inherently, a film showing "a half value width of recrystallization peak obtained by a differential

scanning calorimeter (DSC) by lowering temperature of not more than 0.22" as recited in

amended claim 1.

The half value width of recrystallization peak (1/h) (hereinafter referred to as "half value

width") of the film of the present invention serves as an indicator of the rate of crystallization

during the temperature decrease in the recrystallization process of the polyester film. The

smaller the value is, the more quickly the (heat generation) thermolysis occurs, indicating a rapid

crystallization rate. (Specification, page 9, line 8 to page 10, line 11.) The smaller the half value

width of a film is, the less frequently the whitening occurs when the film is heat-treated to near

or not lower than the melting point and then cooled, as well as the greater the scratch resistance

of the film becomes. (Specification, page 32, lines 1-10.)

- 4 -

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Amended claim 1 is directed to a film having a half value width of not more than 0.22,

and the amendment limits the film of original claim 1 to one that attains both anti-whitening

property (whitening resistance) and scratch resistance at higher levels than those attained by the

film having a half value width of not more than 0.25. Due to the amendment to claim 1.

examples 3, 9, 10 and 12 are now referred to as comparative examples (reference examples).

It can be understood from Table 2 of the specification that in comparison with the films

of examples 3, 9, 10 and 12, the films of examples 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 11 attain both anti-

whitening property (whitening resistance) and scratch resistance at higher levels. This is because

the films of examples 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 11 were produced by feeding polyester A (PET) and

polyester B (PBT, PTT or PEN) into different extruders for separate melting, feeding the melted

polyester A and polyester B into an extruder having a small compression ratio, and extruding a

film under conditions where the resin temperature is controlled to be not more than 265°C and

no area of not less than 275°C is produced in the temperature setting from the cylinder part to the

T-die. (Specification, page 15, line 27 to page 16, line 19.) As a result, the polyester A and

polyester B are dispersed in the film in a relatively large crystal phase, i.e., a high level of crude

mixing.

In contrast, although example 12 shows that a film having a small half value width of

recrystallization peak (1/h) can be produced using a single extruder having a screw with a

compression part (compression zone) of a double flight type, a film having a half value width of

not more than 0.25 could not be produced. In other words, the film having a half value width of

not more than 0.22 of amended claim 1 can be produced only by separately melting polyester A

- 5 -

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

(PET) and polyester (B) (PBT, PTT or PEN) in different extruders, introducing the melted polyester A and polyester B into an extruder having a small compression ratio, and suitably

controlling the resin temperature and the extruder temperature.

The Office Action states that the relationship of the compression ratio and L/D of an

extruder and the half value width is not described. (Office Action, page 3.) However, the

present specification states:

As an extruder to mix the melted polyester A and polyester B, since uniform mixing of the melted polyester A and melted polyester B to the degree they become compatible with each other (transesterification) is not preferable, one having a small compression ratio is preferably used. To be specific, one having a compression ratio of 1.1-3.8 (preferably 1.3-3.0) is

preferable.

(Specification, page 15, lines 27-33.)

The greater the compression ratio is, the greater the amount of self heat generation is in

the compression part (compression zone), and the two kinds of the melted polyesters come into

contact with each other at higher temperatures, resulting in an enhanced compatibility and

difficulty in attaining the state of "crude mixing." As a result, the half value width is increased.

Moreover, the greater the L/D of an extruder is the more likely the kneading of the ingredients

proceeds.

The Specification at page 15, line 33 to page 16, line 8 states:

It is also preferable to use an extruder having an L/D of 20-35 (preferably

25-30), from the aspect of crude mixing of polyester A and polyester B.

This passage teaches that the mixing (kneading) of the 2 types of the polyesters excessively

progresses when the L/D is excessively great, thereby making it difficult to attain the state of

-6-

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

being "crudely mixed." The compression ratio and the L/D of the extruder (extruder III) used in

the production of the films in examples 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 11 are 1.5 and 25, respectively.

With regard to extruders, it is common technical knowledge that the greater the

compression ratio is, the greater the amount of self heat generation is in the compression part

(compression zone), and the greater the L/D is, the more likely the kneading of the ingredients

progresses.

Majima teaches that when the PBT and PET are melt-mixed at a higher melt temperature

or under higher shearing conditions for an extended period of time, the ester exchange reaction

and a decomposition reaction proceed, so that the characteristics of the mixture are drastically

changed, (col. 9, line 66 to col. 10, line 4), and discloses a method in which mixing is performed

after separately melting the ingredients in different extruders (col. 8, lines 58-63). However,

Majima fails to teach the extruder requirement and temperature conditions in producing a film by

mixing the 2 types of polyesters that are melted in separate extruders.

In the examples in Majima, the 2 types of polyesters are dry-blended and formed into a

film by melt-mixing using a single extruder. Furthermore, Majima, teaches that:

For production of the film according to the present invention, the polyesters (I) and (II) are blended in proper ratio, and melt-mixed at a

temperature of 250 to 280° C for 3 to 15 minutes by means of an extruder.

Then, the melt mixture is extruder through a T-die into a sheet form.

(Col. 10, lines 33-37.)

-7-

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Therefore, Majima does not disclose technical means to obtain the film having a half

value width of not more than 0.22 of the present invention and the half value width of not more

than 0.22 is not inherent.

Majima uses an Ester Exchange Index to show the extent of the progress of ester

exchange during the melt-mixing of the 2 types of polyesters. (Col. 14, lines 17-32.) Among

examples 1-13, the ester exchange index of the film in example 11 is 3%. This film has the least

progressed ester exchange and is one of the preferable films. The film is composed of PET

(Tm=255°C) and PBT (Tm=223°C) mixed in a proportion of 40/60 (wt.%) and such a polyester

composition is similar to that of the film of example 12 of the present application.

Example 12 of the present application is an example for showing that even when a single

extruder is used to produce a film, the half value width of recrystallization peak (l/h) of a film

can be controlled to as small as 0.25 by suitably controlling the compression ratio and the resin

temperature.

Applicants have performed a follow-up test in connection with example 11 of Majima

(See enclosed Declaration.) Since Majima does not specify the type of extruder, a screw-type

extruder with a 65 mm single screw, L/D=25 and a compression ratio of 3.5 that is usually used

in a PET film-forming test was used. As evidence that an extruder usually used in PET film

formation has a compression ratio of 3.5, Applicants enclose herewith a copy of Extrusion

Molding Technique Basic Course (Introduction Course), Japan Society of Plastics Technology,

page 17, Table 1-1, Selection of Screw by Resin. Table 1-1 is quoted from Easy To Extrusion

Molding, Sanko Publishing Co., Ltd, January 1999, which was used in the Basic Course.

-8-

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

As shown in the declaration, the half value width (1/h) of the film of example 11 of

Majima obtained in the follow-up test is 0.50, and it is clear that a film having a half value width

(1/h) of not more than 0.22 cannot be obtained.

As described above, it is difficult to produce with the use of a single extruder the film of

amended claim 1 of the present application, which has a half value width of recrystallization

peak (l/h) of not more than 0.22 and attains both anti-whitening property (whitening resistance)

and scratch resistance at high levels. The film can be produced only by separately melting

polyester A (PET) and polyester B (PBT, PTT or PEN) in different extruders, feeding the melted

polyester A and polyester B into an extruder having a small compression ratio, and suitably

controlling the resin temperature and the extruder temperature. Thus, the half value width (1/h)

of the film of Majima, which fails to disclose the extruder requirements and the temperature

conditions for producing a film by mixing 2 types of polyesters that are melted in separate

extruders, has been shown to be greater than 0.22.

Therefore, Majima does not disclose the elements as recited in claim 1 either expressly or

inherently.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 1 is patentable over the cited reference and

claims 2-6 are patentable by virtue of their dependence from claim 1. Accordingly, withdrawal

of the rejection of claims 1-6 is hereby solicited.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

- 9 -

Attorney Docket No.: 042564

Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Andrew G. Melick

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,868

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

AGM/adp

Enclosure:

Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

Extrusion Molding Technique Basic Course(Introduction Course), Japan Society

of Plastics Technology, page 17, Table 1-1